# MYCOTOXINS **IN LEBANESE FOOD BASKET**



#### **DATABASE ON OCCURRENCE AND EXPOSURE**

**Editors**

**André El Khoury, Rouaa Daou, Ali Atoui and Maha Hoteit**







### **MYCOTOXINS IN LEBANESE FOOD BASKET MYCOTOXINS IN LEBANESE FOOD BASKET**

Database on Occurrence and Exposure Database on Occurrence and Exposure

**Editors Editors**

#### **André El Khoury, Rouaa Daou, Ali Atoui and Maha Hoteit André El Khoury, Rouaa Daou, Ali Atoui and Maha Hoteit**

Suggested Citation: El Khoury, A., Daou, R., Atoui, A., & Hoteit, M. (2022, March 3). Mycotoxin Database-Lebanon.osf.io/s6rx9. DOI 10.17605/OSF.IO/M276S.

**2022** 

# **TABLE OF CONTENTS**



### **EDITORS**

**Andre EL KHOURY** holds a PhD in Environmental and Process Engineering, section Microbial Engineering, from the Polytechnic National Institute of Toulouse (INP), France and a PhD in Chemistry from the Saint-Joseph University of Beirut, Lebanon. He also holds an Agriculture Engineering diploma from the Lebanese University, a Master degree in Food Chemistry and a Master's in Animal Physiology from the Faculty of Sciences of the Saint-Joseph University of Beirut. Currently, he is an Associate Professor filling a full time Faculty position (teaching and research), within the Life and Earth Sciences - Biochemistry Department, at the Faculty of Sciences of Saint-Joseph University of Beirut. He acts as chair of the master Program "Food Chemistry" since 2010 and since 2014 as head of the research laboratory of "Mycotoxicology and Food Safety", within the same faculty. He covers different courses related to the Food Toxicology, Mycotoxicology, Good hygiene and manufacturing practices (GMP/GHP), Industrial Toxicology, Food Biochemistry, Food Additives, Environmental Health, Biosafety & Biosecurity, Parasitology, Mycology and professional risks management. His research interests focus on Mycotoxicology, Environmental impact of filamentous fungi and their related mycotoxins, Bioaerosols, Antimicrobial resistance, Biofilms, Food Control, Food Toxicology, Food Microbiology, Food Contaminants and Food Analysis topics. Dr. El Khoury mentored 11 PhD students and around 40 Master students with various scientific backgrounds. Altogether, these activities led to a large and rich scientific production including 2 patents, 1 book chapter, 40 publications in prestigious scientific journals and an intense participation to national and international conferences. In addition, he is guest editor at Frontiers in Nutrition and Frontiers in Fungal Biology journals, as well as reviewer for many scientific journals. Dr. El Khoury is member of the International Network of Biotechnology (INB) and member of the project 18 of the Chemical, Biological, Radiological and Nuclear (CBRN) Unit, working under the Umbrella of The United Nations Interregional Crime and Justice Research Institute (UNICRI) and covering subject areas of Biosafety, Biosecurity, Bioethics and responsible Life Sciences. He is also a member of the Food Safety committee founded by the Lebanese ministry of Public health in 2021 and member of the expert committee designated to evaluate the health impact due to the moldy and fermented grains of the Lebanese silos after the explosion of the port of Beirut in 2020. He followed various trainings on an international level covering different scientific disciplines and collaborated with the UNICRI as a trainer on the malicious use of social media during the COVID-19 pandemic.

**Rouaa Daou** holds a Ph.D in Life Sciences from Saint-Joseph University of Beirut, Lebanon, and a Ph.D. in Public Health from the Lebanese University. She also holds a M.S. degree in Food Technology and a B.S. degree in Nutrition and Dietetics from the American University of Beirut. Her Ph.D. studies concentrated on quantifying the concentrations of aflatoxin B<sub>1</sub>, aflatoxin M<sub>1</sub>, and ochratoxin A in a selected Lebanese food basket including milk and dairy products, wheat and derived products, spices, herbs, nuts, etc. Her work also included quantifying the exposure to those mycotoxins in the Lebanese population and their related health risks. Currently, Dr. Daou is a part-time instructor at the Notre Dame University-Louaize where she teaches Basic Human Nutrition and Food Security and Sustainability courses. She is also responsible for mentoring M.S. students in research studies in areas of food mycology, public health, and microbiology at the Saint Joseph University. Dr. Daou had different national and international participations in many conferences. She was able to achieve first position in poster competition at the 13<sup>th</sup> Dubai International Food Safety Conference on her study entitled, "Assessment of aflatoxin  $M_1$  in cow's milk and dairy products and its effect on liver cancer in Lebanon". Dr. Daou has published several articles in prestigious scientific journals including review and research articles on food contamination with mycotoxins in Lebanon. She is also a licensed dietitian since 2011 and a member of the Lebanese Academy for Nutrition and Dietetics.

**Ali Atoui** received his Diploma in Agriculture Engineering (specialization in Agri-Food Industries) from the Lebanese University in 2001. He then obtained his M.Sc. Degree in 2003 in Food Quality Management from the Mediterranean Agronomic Institute of Chania (MAICh), Crete- Greece. In 2006, he completed his PhD, specializing in molecular and physiological studies of the mycotoxin producing fungi, from the Institut National Polytechnique de Toulouse, France where he received the 2007 Léopold Escande Prize awarded for the most innovative PhD from the Institut National Polytechnique de Toulouse. From 2007 to 2008 he has been Faculty Research Associate in the Department of Biological Science at the Northern Illinois University, Dekalb, Illinois, U.S.A and worked there on the molecular regulation of Secondary metabolites biosynthesis in filamentous fungi. In June 2008 he worked at the Lebanese Atomic Energy commission where he served as Scientific researcher and head of the laboratory of Microorganisms and Food Irradiation. In 2014 he was appointed Professor of Microbiology in the Department of Life & Earth Sciences at Faculty of Sciences of the Lebanese University. He is teaching General Microbiology, General Microbiology Laboratory, Food Microbiology, Laboratory Management and Biosafety. His research interests focus on the genetics and physiology of mycotoxin biosynthesis by filamentous fungi, molecular identification of mycotoxigenic species, mycotoxin detection and control. He has (co-) supervised 8 PhD students and more than 30 MSc students. He has more than 40 scientific papers in and has participated in many international conferences. In the field of Biosafety and Biosecurity Ali Atoui is a member of scientific committee for the Annual International Symposium on Biosecurity and Biosafety: Future Trends and Solutions since 2010 and has many conferences participation on this area. He also served as a potential expert in the realization of the Project 18 "International Network of Universities and Institutes for Raising Awareness on Dual-Use Concerns in Bio-Technology" (2013-2014) and since 2017, he is member of the International Network of Biotechnology (INB) of UNICRI.

**Maha Hoteit**, holding a PhD in Nutritional Sciences and Nutrigenomics, is the Director of the Master Program in Clinical and Public Health Nutrition and Head of Department of Nutrition and Dietetics at the Faculty of Public Health-Section I at the Lebanese University. Pr. Hoteit is the founder of the public health Nutrition program of Lebanon (PHENOL) and her research interests lie in the area of public health nutrition, ranging from surveys, food composition data, to clinical trials than to policies implementation. In recent years, she has focused on studying the effect of nutritional interventions on health community's outcomes by spreading the term "Public Health Nutrition". More than 33 publications observed lights between 2014 and 2021 aiming to improve the quality of life of communities living in Lebanon and the Eastern Mediterranean region. Main outcomes and topics were non-Communicable diseases, fruits and vegetables intake, Mediterranean diet, smoking and body weight, physical activity and body markers, autism and nutrition, body image and healthy lifestyle, inflammatory bowel disease and nutrition, Vitamin D and Calcium supplementation in elderly, food composition tables for traditional dishes, food security, breastfeeding and pregnancy amid the COVID-19 pandemic. Some of the research projects were achieved in collaboration with the regional office of the World Health Organization.

# **FOREWORD**

Fungal attack is a natural phenomenon that affects agricultural lands worldwide leading to the production of toxic secondary metabolites known as mycotoxins on crops either on-field or during storage. In Lebanon, several studies have reported the occurrence of mycotoxins in either locally produced or imported food-stuffs. Based on its geographical location, Lebanon, has a diverse climate that promotes mycotoxin production due to the prevalence of humid to sub-humid conditions in the winter that shifts to sub-tropical with high temperatures and humidity in the summer. Added to that, the prevalence of multiple issues including poor agricultural, storage, and food safety control practices, lead to further contamination with mycotoxins. Mycotoxin's exposure threatens public health, especially upon prolonged chronic exposure due to the continuous presence of carcinogenic mycotoxins at different concentrations in major staple Lebanese food. Therefore, this database serves to present a brief explanation on the issue of mycotoxins in food, their promoting factors, control methods, health, and economic effects, etc. In addition to that, it mainly aims to present a summary of all studies conducted in Lebanon that reports the occurrence of mycotoxins in foodstuff present in the national markets and the exposure of Lebanese population to them. At the end of this report, future trends in mycotoxin research and recommendations to decrease contamination in Lebanon are also presented. This database was prepared by the Laboratory of mycology and food safety at the Faculty of Sciences of the Saint Joseph University of Beirut, the Faculty of Public Health and the Lebanese Food Drugs and Chemical Administration (LFDCA) at the Lebanese University for its dissemination to policy makers, food safety organizations and specialists, and food mycology researchers in Lebanon.

### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

The editors are grateful to all of the authors who contributed with their work to this report. Special thanks go to the rigorous evaluations of all of the submitted manuscripts by the expert peer reviewers who contributed to this report. Lastly, the valuable contributions, organization, and technical support of the faculty of public health-Lebanese university team, specifically Ms. Lamis Chmeiss and Zahraa Abbass, are greatly appreciated.

Editors are grateful to Mr. Ibrahim kheireddine for his assistance in designing this database.

# **ACRONYMS**



# **LIST OF TABLES**

**Table 1:** Major mycotoxins, their producing fungi, and affected food types

**Table 2:** Maximum tolerable limits (MTL) for different mycotoxins in some food commodities

**Table 3:** Summary of studies that reports mycotoxin contamination in Lebanon

**Table 4:** Mycotoxin contamination reported in cereals group in different studies

**Table 5:** Mycotoxin contamination reported in meats, eggs, legumes, nuts, and seeds group in different studies

**Table 6:** Mycotoxin contamination reported in milk and dairy products group in different studies

**Table 7:** Mycotoxin contamination reported in solid fats, oils, sugar, and salt group in different studies

**Table 8:** Mycotoxin contamination reported in traditional dishes, cultural food, and other dishes group in different studies

**Table 9:** Mycotoxin contamination reported in beverages group in different studies

**Table 10:** Mycotoxin contamination reported in seasonings group in different studies

Table 11: Exposure of Lebanese population to mycotoxins reported in different studies

# **List of Figures**

**Figure 1:** Uncontrolled storage and its effect on contamination

**Figure 2:** Conditions affecting mycotoxin production and control methods

**Figure 3: IARC classification of different mycotoxins** 

# **BACKGROUND**

Mycotoxins are secondary metabolites of filamentous fungi that can attack crops on-field or during storage. Fungal attacks are unavoidable and are part of a natural occurrence that is affected by environmental conditions including temperature and humidity. Fungi can contaminate vast types of crops including food products and feedstuff and can tolerate diverse circumstances allowing them to produce mycotoxins under different sets of conditions. Contamination with fungi can happen at any stage of the food production chain making it an additive process that may start in the field and increase with subsequent steps. Identified in 1962 due to the aftermath of a veterinary crisis in London where 100,000 turkey poults died in what was known as a mysterious turkey X disease attributed later to aflatoxin contamination in peanut meal, mycotoxins have emerged since then as a risk to public health and food security (Bennett & Klich 2003). Currently, more than 300 mycotoxins are known that range from simple C4 molecules to complex ones and that differ in fungal origins, structure, function, and effects. Mycotoxins are generally low molecular weight compounds and as their production does not seem to affect fungal growth, they may have developed to play a defensive role against external intruders (Reverberi et al. 2010; Zain 2011).

**Table 1:** Major mycotoxins, their producing fungi, and affected food types (Pitt 2000; Bennett & Klich 2003; Magan & Olsen 2004; Marin et al. 2013; Milani 2013; Daou et al. 2021)



### **Mycotoxin promoting conditions**

Climate conditions are the main determining factors of fungal attacks and mycotoxin production as they affect their incidence, survival, and distribution (Richard et al. 2003). Fungi, being diverse, require different conditions to grow, germinate, and produce mycotoxins. For example, on-field, Fusarium spp. dominate, while during storage Aspergillus spp. and Penicillium spp. become more relevant since they thrive at lower relative humidity that is usually found in storage (Mannaa & Kim 2017). However, fungal contamination does not necessarily mean subsequent mycotoxin contamination since only restricted specific conditions of temperature, water activity, and pH promote mycotoxin production. Generally conditions considered favorable for fungal growth and mycotoxin production are a temperature range of  $25-30^{\circ}$ C, a<sub>w</sub> higher than 0.78, and a relative humidity range between 88% and 95% (Thanushree et al. 2019). pH also affects mycotoxin production and generally fungi can modulate the surrounding pH by secreting acids or alkali giving it a better survival chance (Vylkova 2017). However, usually, an acidic medium surrounding the fungi leads to more mycotoxin production. Fungal strains affect mycotoxins production as well and sometimes different strains of the same species require different conditions to produce different types of mycotoxins. For example, Aspergillus flavus and Aspergillus carbonarius can grow at different temperatures and while the first can produce AFB1, the second has the ability to produce OTA (Mannaa & Kim 2017). Substrate type also plays an important role in determining the dynamics of fungal growth and mycotoxin production. As molds can be found on almost all kinds of food since most of them contain carbon and nitrogen that are essential for fungal growth, those species cannot definitely produce mycotoxins on all crop types (Kokkonen et al. 2005). More complex interactions govern this process in the substrate including that of temperature, pH, water activity, and composition and in the absence of one single factor fungal growth might be affected and mycotoxin production stopped (Özćelik & Özćelik 2004). On the other hand, substrates with simple sugars mostly supports mycotoxins production (Hamad et al. 2015).

# **Mycotoxin control**

Mycotoxins that are naturally produced in food are to a large extent unavoidable and their stable nature makes them resistant to decontamination and processing methods. Their presence in the food chain, therefore, might lead to induced crops destruction as a method to reduce global contamination of food with mycotoxins causing therefore, an increase in food waste and economic losses. Hence, the best strategy to control mycotoxin contamination in the final food product is to apply integrated quality control measures from the beginning of the food chain until the end, particularly since fungal attack and mycotoxin production can take place at any stage of the food chain. So, proper practices must be applied on-field during pre-harvest, as well as, during the later stages of harvest, drying, storage, and processing (Lopez-Garcia et al. 1999).

#### **1. Proper agricultural practices**

Good agricultural practices on-field are crucial as a first step in the control of fungal attacks and mycotoxin contamination since most fungi are phytopathogens that can invade crops. As it is mostly impossible to totally prevent mycotoxin contamination on planted crops, it is possible to reduce it through proper strategies. On-field it is extremely important to get rid of any debris plant material from previous crops that can act as perfect media for fungal attacks, growth, and germination through applying deep plowing, tiling, and yearly crop rotation (Food and Agriculture Organization 2007; Munkvold 2014; Rose 2019). It is also important to time the production cycle to avoid early maturing of the plant and harvest during a time of rainfall or high relative humidity (Food and Agriculture Organization 2007; Rose 2019). It is also crucial to prevent pest, weed, insect, and rodent attacks that can spread fungi and cause harm to the plant integrity making it more prone and less resistant to fungal attacks. Using proper practices such as proper irrigation that prevents splashing and spread of fungi, in addition to the usage of fertilizers and fungicides in recommended limits is also important to control onfield contamination (Food and Agriculture Organization 2007).



# Proper field practices

- Deep plowing and tiling
- Crop rotation
- Timing the production cycle
- Use of high-quality seeds
- Use of fertilizers
- Applying appropriate irrigation methods
- Weed and insect control
- Use of fungicides in recommended quantities

### Proper harvest practices

- Start after a period of dry weather
- Harvest in a rapid way
- Avoid mechanical damage to crops especially when using heavy machinery
- Visually examine the crops for any symptoms of fungal disease
- Separate contaminated crops from healthy ones Ensure the cleanliness and hygiene of harvest equipment to avoid fungal cross-contamination





# Proper drying practices

- Perform drying directly after harvest
- Perform drying at the fastest rate possible
- Transfer crops upon completion directly to controlled storage
- Place a barrier or platform between the soils and crops in case of sun drying

#### **2. Proper storage practices**

Storage is a crucial period when control measures can be applied and several techniques could be done to limit fungal infection, growth, germination, and mycotoxin production. However, if executed in improper ways, uncontrolled arbitrary storage creates an optimal condition for fungi to germinate and create internal contamination pockets. Generally, it is very important to control temperature and relative humidity and maintain them at levels below 10<sup>°</sup>C and 70%, respectively. However, if storage conditions become uncontrolled, for example, if the storage facility was not well sealed and proofed against weather conditions and insect, rodent, and pest attacks and in the particular presence of low temperatures in the outer atmosphere, evaporation might occur followed by condensation that increases water activity in the stored crops leading to fungal growth and mycotoxin contamination and the formation of internal pockets of contamination (Figure 1) (Richard et al. 2003).



**Figure 1:** Uncontrolled storage and its effect on contamination.

# Proper storage practices

- Check grains' physical condition before storage including moisture content and signs of fungal infection
- Check soundness of storage warehouse
- Weatherproof warehouse and seal any holes or damages
- Sanitize building and equipment before storage, remove any previous crop debris, and apply insecticide
- Install moisture barriers on the floor of impermeable nature
- Install temperature and moisture sensors and calibrate them frequently
- Continuously monitor pest infestation, physical crop damage, and fungal infection signs during storage
- Perform periodic quality analysis during storage including microbiological and chemical assessments Keep data records and test results

#### **3. Detoxification, decontamination, and processing methods**

Quality control methods application through the food chain is the best approach for reducing contamination, however, in many cases, any single deviation from control procedures could lead to the frequency of mycotoxins in the foodstuff (Hojnik et al. 2017; Pankaj et al. 2018). Therefore, some decontamination and detoxification methods have been developed to minimize mycotoxin contamination in the final product (Magan & Olsen 2004). Methods developed were either physical that includes procedures like sorting, cleaning, washing, cooking, etc., or chemical that relies on organic acids, alkalis, reducing and oxidizing agents, or biological that concentrates on using microorganisms such as bacteria, yeasts, molds, and algae for decontamination (Karlovsky et al. 2016; Hojnik et al. 2017; Pankaj et al. 2018; Pleadin et al. 2019; Deng et al. 2021).

Nonetheless, no single method has proved to be significantly effective against the various mycotoxins and several ones were found to be inapplicable due to their impracticality on large industrial scales.

On the other hand, processing techniques that aims to increase the stability and shelflife of any food product are not particularly effective against mycotoxins due to their high resistance (Bullerman & Bianchini 2007). Generally, based on the nature of the mycotoxin some processing techniques have been shown to either increase or slightly decrease their concentration. For example, during cheese making AFM1 becomes more concentrated in the final product while in cereal production OTA may decrease due to the complex nature of processing methods. Therefore, on a general note, processing is not highly effective in removing mycotoxins from food.

#### **4. Role of legislation in mycotoxin control**

Mycotoxin total exclusion from foods is practically impossible so the last step of control that safeguards markets from mycotoxin contamination is the application of legislation and regulations. Usually regulatory agencies in different countries set legislations in the form of tolerances, guideline levels, residue levels, and maximum admissible levels for mycotoxins in different food commodities (Magan & Olsen 2004). Countries tend to test samples of the food upon import to ensure acceptable levels of mycotoxins before

admission. Generally, contamination vary significantly worldwide and this creates a condition of imbalance and disparities. Strict regulations may also lead to food safety problems in non-developed countries that may have abundance of mycotoxin contaminated foods in their markets due to border rejection in developed ones (Magan & Olsen 2004; Barkai-Golan & Paster 2008). To ensure appropriate application of regulations proper sampling and analysis methods should also be used since sampling can introduce a lot of bias and the usage of improper analysis methods can lead to inaccurate results hence, compromising the health of the consumers and the benefits of the trader and the buyer as well. Analytical procedures usually start with cleanup and extraction steps using mostly solid-phase extraction such as the usage of immunoaffinity columns, then afterwards, different chromatographic methods could be used for quantification including high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC), mass spectrometry (MS), etc. However, those methods could be time-consuming, so instead enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) might be used, since it is rapid, simple, and cost-effective. Nonetheless, it remains a less reliable method due to the high risk of falsepositive or false-negative results (Sakamoto et al. 2018). The following figure sums up the factors that affect mycotoxin production and the control methods.



Figure 2: Conditions affecting mycotoxin production and control methods (Daou et al. 2021).

**Table 2:** Maximum tolerable limits (MTL) for different mycotoxins in some food commodities (European Commission 2006).





#### **5. Impacts of mycotoxins**

#### **5.1. Economic effects**

Mycotoxins presence in food chain can have economic consequences and it has been estimated by the Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) that approximately 25% of cereals produced worldwide are contaminated with mycotoxins leading to huge losses (Richard et al. 2003). This contamination can also lead to the disruption of trade balance and leads to increased food waste specifically in developing countries where food security is already a problem. Mycotoxins also add significant costs due to the price of sampling, analysis, control methods, and subsequent health costs.

#### **5.2. Health effects**

Exposure to mycotoxins is mostly significant through the ingestion of contaminated food. Naturally, this exposure would be to a group of mycotoxins rather than a single one and it could be direct through consuming contaminated plant-based foods or indirect through the consumption of carry-over mycotoxins and their metabolites in animal products such as milk, meat, and eggs (Bosco & Mollea 1983).

Exposure to high levels of mycotoxins during a short period could induce acute toxicity known as mycotoxicosis that include several symptoms such as liver damage, kidney damage, immune-suppression, nausea, vomiting, diarrhea, and skin irritations. Those symptoms could also be aggravated in the presence of other factors such as malnutrition, vitamin deficiency, infections, and disease and is impacted by age, health, and gender of the affected person, as well (Richard et al. 2003; Bennett & Klich 2003).

On the other hand, exposure to low levels of mycotoxins and their metabolites over an extended period may result in chronic toxicity and irreversible health effects due to their accumulation along with their metabolites in different body organs (Richard et al. 2003). Many studies have established the carcinogenic properties of several mycotoxins as they were proved to be hepatotoxic, genotoxic, immunosuppressive, estrogenic, nephrotoxic, and teratogenic (Smith et al. 2016). Accordingly, the International Agency for Research on Cancer have classified mycotoxins as carcinogenic to humans or potentially carcinogenic **(Table 3)**. Mycotoxins could also be neurotoxic and cause developmental effects during pregnancy (Leslie et al. 2008).





#### **6. Conditions affecting mycotoxin contamination in Lebanon**

In Lebanon, several factors affect fungal attack incidence and mycotoxin contamination in local and imported foodstuff. Those factors are mainly: the Lebanese weather and climate, poor agricultural practices, poor storage practices, and the weakness of the food safety system. In Lebanon, the weather is humid to sub-humid in the winter and shifts to sub-tropical with high temperatures and humidity in dry seasons (Karam 2002). This weather is considered favorable for fungal attacks and could lead to the contamination of locally planted crops with fungi and mycotoxins. Additionally, poor agricultural practices

on the field further aggravate this problem and in fact, a previous study reported the contamination of Lebanese durum wheat samples collected from field where AFB1 and OTA were found in 71.8% and 84.6%, with 35.2% and 23.7% of samples with contamination levels exceeding the limits, respectively (Joubrane et al. 2011). Add to that, poor storage practices in warehouses and in food production outlets lead to more contamination especially since many warehouses in Lebanon were found to lack control over storage conditions including temperature and humidity, in addition to, haphazard storage leading to more pest and rodent attacks. Also, a previous study reported the contamination of stored wheat from the Ministry of Economy and Trade warehouses where AFB1 and OTA were found both in 48.3% of samples with 24.3% and 27% of samples with contamination levels exceeding the limits, respectively (Joubrane et al. 2020). Finally, the Lebanese food sector has been faced for long with a major challenge represented in the weakness of the national food safety system. The system that had been governed by a series of out-of-date laws and decrees since the 1961 Ministry of Public Health law, had for long followed a multidisciplinary approach through which nine different agencies were responsible for food safety namely; the Ministry of Agriculture (MOA), Ministry of Public Health (MOPH), Ministry of Economy and Trade (MOET), Ministry of Finance, Ministry of Interior, Ministry of Tourism, Ministry of Industry, National Council for Scientific Research (CNRS), and the Lebanese Standards Institution (LIBNOR) (El-Jardali et al. 2014). The presence of all those authorities that possessed overlapping functions contributed negatively to the application of food safety measures, increased bureaucracy, and led to job duplication and fragmentation. Furthermore, the absence of coordination, monitoring, and accountability among one another led to more regression in the food safety situation (El-Jardali et al. 2014). Even inspection programs were deficient, and according to El Jardali et al., "it was almost non-existent for both local and imported foods, and most products supplied to markets lacked any type of quality control" (El-Jardali et al. 2014). Monitoring and surveillance programs were also deficient which led to underreporting of food safety hazards and the lack of accountability measures such as sanctions imposed on violators. This scheme led eventually to the deterioration of the food control system and the dominance of wrong and fraudulent practices in the food sector in a way that threatened public health in Lebanon. Recently, in November 2015, a

Food Safety Law was endorsed by the Lebanese Parliament following a huge food safety scandal that involved many food establishments including restaurants, supermarkets, and food industries. The recent law aimed at controlling and enhancing the food safety system through imposing strict regulatory actions, monitoring and surveillance programs, and firm corrective measures. It also included the establishment of the Food Safety Lebanese Commission (FSLC) that is responsible directly for enforcing the new law through several activities including: setting food safety regulations, coordinating between different authorities, monitoring food safety practices, conducting national studies and compiling statistical data, directing traceability measures, collecting samples from food establishments, performing risk analysis, and receiving food safety complaints from Lebanese citizens, etc…(Lebanese Parliment 2015; Cortas 2018). Nevertheless, since then, slow progress was made and the FSLC has not been put yet into action due to political instability which unfortunately led to the further perpetuation of the previous food safety system. This mentioned weakness of the food safety system was reflected through many aspects including the prevalence of mycotoxins in Lebanese foods. Some studies that assessed the level of contamination in Lebanese food products with different mycotoxins such as AFs, AFM1, OTA, deoxynivalenol… showed moderate to high levels of contamination and recommended the continuous monitoring of mycotoxin levels in Lebanese food through further studies. The prevalence of mycotoxins in Lebanese food could be related to many factors including the fact that mycotoxin monitoring is almost absent in food establishments and mycotoxin analysis is performed solely for food samples at ports of entry into the country where imported food is supposedly admitted or rejected according to its conformity with the Lebanese regulations, nonetheless, many violations are also expected to be taking place in such proximities leading to the wrongful admission of contaminated samples. In addition to that, sampling procedures may not be always executed in proper ways, therefore, introducing bias into analysis.

#### **OBJECTIVES**

Many studies on mycotoxins were conducted in Lebanon, each study has adopted a different approach, analysis method, and data representation form.

The main objective of this database is to make the occurrence data of different mycotoxins readily available and accessible in a uniform way of presentation. It also aims at summarizing each study's method, objectives, analysis methods, etc. The database aims as well to present a summary of exposure data presented in the studies of different mycotoxins in the Lebanese population.

Finally, a main objective behind this database is to facilitate the work of academics, policymakers, food safety organizations and specialists, and food mycology researchers in Lebanon.

#### **METHODOLOGY**

Different studies done in Lebanon were obtained. In total, 21 studies were found that discuss mycotoxin contamination ranging from 2004 till 2021. Mycotoxin data were reported in the form of positive samples, samples exceeding the limit set by the European Commission that is applied in Lebanon by LIBNOR, and the range of contamination. Additionally, wherever reported exposure to mycotoxins was also included. Then different tested commodities were distributed according to the Lebanese Cedar Food Guide specified in the Food-Based Dietary Guidelines in Lebanon (Hwalla et al. 2013), into 4 main groups namely:

**Group 1: Cereals Group 2: Meats, eggs, legumes, nuts, and seeds Group 3: Milk and dairy products Group 4: Solid fats, oils, sugar, and salt**

Three other groups were added to include the foods tested in the different studies namely:

**Group 5: Traditional dishes, cultural food, and other dishes Group 6: Beverages Group 7: Seasonings**

**A systematic search of available databases was conducted to review and extract required published articles of mycotoxin research in Lebanon and under-review articles were requested from different authors**

**Occurrence and exposure data were extracted from the studies**

**Data was organized in the form of positive samples, samples exceeding the limit set by the European Commission that is applied in Lebanon by LIBNOR, and the range of contamination** 

**Data was organized according to mycotoxins occurrence in commodities distributed according to four different food groups from the Lebanese Cedar Food Guide in the Food-Based Dietary Guidlines in Lebanon. Three other food groups were added to include other food** 

**items.**



**In total:**

**Number of studies: 21** 

**Types of Mycotoxins tested: AFB1, AFB2, AFG1, AFG2, AFM1, OTA, DON, NIV, FB1, FB2, HT-2, T2, ZEA, TeA, AOH, AME, ALT, and TTX** 

#### **PRESENTATION OF DATA**

#### **1. PRESENTATION OF STUDIES REPORTING MYCOTOXIN'S CONTAMINATION IN LEBANON**

**Table 3:** Summary of studies that reports mycotoxin contamination in Lebanon















### **2. Presentation of data on mycotoxin's contamination according to food groups**

**Table 4:** Mycotoxin's contamination reported in cereals group









N.A.: data not available in the study

\* Means reported in the table according to two values are based on lower bound calculation (the undetected values were replaced by zero while the unquantified were replaced by limit of detection) and upper bound calculation (the undetected values were replaced by limit of detection while the unquantified were replaced by limit of quantification)

#### **Table 5:** Mycotoxin contamination reported in meats, eggs, legumes, nuts, and seeds group in different studies





\* Means reported in the table according to two values are based on lower bound calculation (the undetected values were replaced by zero while the unquantified were replaced by limit of detection) and upper bound calculation (the undetected values were replaced by limit of detection while the unquantified were replaced by limit of quantification)

**Table 6:** Mycotoxin contamination reported in milk and dairy products group in different studies









\* Means reported in the table according to two values are based on lower bound calculation (the undetected values were replaced by zero while the unquantified were replaced by limit of detection) and upper bound calculation (the undetected values were replaced by limit of detection while the unquantified were replaced by limit of quantification)

**Table 7:** Mycotoxin contamination reported in solid fats, oils, sugar, and salt group in different studies



\* Means reported in the table according to two values are based on lower bound calculation (the undetected values were replaced by zero while the unquantified were replaced by limit of detection) and upper bound calculation (the undetected values were replaced by limit of detection while the unquantified were replaced by limit of quantification)

**Table 8:** Mycotoxin contamination reported in traditional dishes, cultural food, and other dishes group in different studies



\* Means reported in the table according to two values are based on lower bound calculation (the undetected values were replaced by zero while the unquantified were replaced by limit of detection) and upper bound calculation (the undetected values were replaced by limit of detection while the unquantified were replaced by limit of quantification)



#### **Table 9:** Mycotoxin contamination reported in beverages group in different studies



**Table 10:** Mycotoxin contamination reported in seasonings group in different studies



 $1$  Mean contamination reported in this study is only for positive samples

#### **Exposure data**

Exposure to mycotoxins was not evaluated in all studies done, however, where reported exposure was calculated according to different three methods as follows:

**Method 1**: Mycotoxin analyzed in the plasma of healthy individuals and daily intake of the targeted mycotoxin was estimated through an equation from the mean concentration in all plasma samples.

**Method 2**: Food consumption data was obtained through FFQ and combined with mean contamination levels. The obtained value was then used to calculate average daily exposure based on average body weight.

**Method 3**: This method was used for studies on infant formula in which recommended formula intake for infants was used to calculate exposure



#### **Table 11:** Exposure of Lebanese population to mycotoxins reported in different studies



<sup>1</sup>L.B.: Lower bound calculation for regular consumers

2U.B.: Upper bound calculation for excessive consumers

### **Future considerations in mycotoxin studies**

Previous studies presented in this document have shown that mycotoxin contamination was frequent in Lebanese locally produced food and imported ones. Therefore, future strategies must concentrate on controlling fungal and mycotoxin contamination from the beginning of the food chain until the final stages before food reaches the consumer. The synergistic toxic effects of mycotoxins occurring simultaneously in food products must be considered in future studies, in addition, to the possibility of the occurrence of masked mycotoxins.

It is also very important to assess the effects of the ongoing financial crisis in Lebanon through continuous surveillance studies that reports the occurrence of mycotoxins in different food products, especially since many were not previously found in the Lebanese market before the crisis and that are recently being imported from unknown sources due to their low prices.

Additionally, continuous consumption studies and risk assessments must be performed to verify the suitability of the European regulations on the Lebanese context in what mostly suits and protects the local consumer from mycotoxins' health effects.

Finally, Lebanon is expected to be affected by climate change due to its geographical location on the eastern part of the Mediterranean that has been reported to be warming 20% faster than the global average by the "Mediterranean Action Plan Barcelona Convention" of the UN environment program (UN environment program 2016). Those changes will be evident with an expected increase in the frequency and intensity of droughts, decrease in precipitation, and increase in temperature by 2 to 3˚C (Haddad et al. 2014). This change will shift the dynamics of fungal attacks and eventually Lebanese crops will be more prone to attacks by Aspergillus spp. and subsequent aflatoxins contamination. Therefore, it is very important to adopt proactive strategies to mitigate climate change effects on food safety and mycotoxin contamination.

### **Recommendations for mycotoxin control**

To minimize mycotoxin contamination and protect Lebanese consumers from related health effects, there is an urgent need to apply strict food safety measures. Accordingly, several recommendations at different levels of the food chain could be suggested:

- Lebanese borders: strengthen food safety control on the borders to ensure the safety of imported foods and feed through providing border control centers with the essential equipment and training for proper sampling and inspection.
- Laboratories: support official laboratories where food analysis is performed with the equipment, machines, chemical products, etc. Periodic calibration of machines should be performed as well as proficiency tests. Periodical training must also be delivered to laboratory staff.
- Farms: ensure the safety of cultivated Lebanese wheat through training farmers on the proper application of GAP, GHP, and GDP. High-quality resistant seeds should be provided to farmers along with pesticides, fungicides, and fertilizers with clear detailed usage instructions that ensures regulated application according to specific safety guidelines. Inspections

and analysis of cultivated wheat for fungal and/or mycotoxin contamination should be performed before storage in MOET warehouses.

- Storage sites: provide safe storage for both foods and feed through ensuring their safety before storage, improving the infrastructure of storage sites, installing humidity and temperature sensors, and applying GSP. Guidance must be provided as well by the authorities and continuous inspections on different storage sites should be performed.
- Dairy farms: support small dairy farms by providing technical guidance and training to farmers especially in rural areas. Periodic visits and inspections must be implemented and raw milk samples should be collected frequently and analyzed to ensure their safety.
- Dairy industries: ensure safe production through enforcing AFM1 testing of raw milk and powdered milk in cooperatives, collection centers, and dairy industries before processing or storage. Periodic visits and inspections must be performed, as well, and samples of dairy products should be collected frequently and analyzed to ensure their safety.
- Food safety system: strengthen the whole food safety control system by establishing a national food monitoring program, performing periodic inspections, reinforcing strict measures on food safety violators, increasing cooperation between food safety responsible authorities, providing guidance for all food production sectors, and implementing corrective actions in case of deviance.
- Control plans: programs like HACCP can be established and followed for several food chains such as wheat, animal feed, milk, etc. to ensure the safety of food and keep records of all activities.
- Monitor mycotoxin contamination through conducting continuous studies on Lebanese food.

### **References**

Assaf H, Betbeder A-M, Creppy E, Pallardy M, Azouri H. 2004. Ochratoxin A levels in human plasma and foods in Lebanon. Hum Exp Toxicol. 23:495–501.

Barkai-Golan R, Paster N. 2008. Mycotoxins in Fruits and Vegetables. [place unknown]: Elsevier.

Bennett JW, Klich M. 2003. Mycotoxins. Clin Microbiol Rev. 16(3):497–516.

Bosco F, Mollea C. 1983. Mycotoxins in food. Arch Toxicol. 53(Suppl. 6):176.

Bullerman L, Bianchini A. 2007. Stability of mycotoxins during food processing. Int J Food Microbiol. 119:140–146.

Cortas A. 2018. A Training Program in Food Safety in Lebanon. Int J Clin Nutr Diet. 4.

Daou R, Afif C, Joubrane K, Khabbaz LR, Maroun R, Ismail A, Khoury A El. 2020. Occurrence of aflatoxin M1 in raw, pasteurized, UHT cows' milk, and dairy products in Lebanon. Food Control. 111(November 2019).

Daou R, Joubrane K, Khabbaz LR, Maroun RG, Ismail A, El Khoury A. 2021. Aflatoxin B1 and ochratoxin A in imported and Lebanese wheat and -products. Food Addit Contam Part B [Internet].:1–9. https://doi.org/10.1080/19393210.2021.1933203

Daou R, Joubrane K, Maroun RG, Khabbaz LR, Ismail A, El Khoury A. 2021. Mycotoxins: Factors influencing production and control strategies. AIMS Agric Food [Internet]. 6(1):416–447. file:///article/id/60361b93ba35de13e07f4cb3

Daou R, Joubrane K, Maroun RG, Khabbaz LR, Ismail A, El Khoury A. 2022a. Occurrence and exposure assessment of aflatoxin M1, aflatoxin B1, and ochratoxin A in different food items selected from the Lebanese food basket: a summary. Under review

Daou R, Hoteit M, Nahle S, Al-Jawaldeh A, Koubar M, Doumiati S, El Khoury A. Occurrence of AFB1 in infants and young children's food products, and prevalence of AFM1 and its dietary exposure through baby formula in Lebanon. Under review

Darra N, Gambacorta L, Solfrizzo M. 2018. Multimycotoxins occurrence in spices and herbs commercialized in Lebanon. Food Control. 95.

Deng L, Sutar P, Mujumdar A, Tao Y, Pan Z, Liu Y-H. 2021. Thermal Decontamination Technologies for Microorganisms and Mycotoxins in Low-Moisture Foods. Annu Rev Food Sci Technol. 12.

El-Jardali F, Hammoud R, Kamleh R, Jurdi.Mey. 2014. Briefing Note Protecting Consumers in Lebanon: The Need for Effective Food Safety System [Internet]. :0–27. https://www.aub.edu.lb/k2p/Documents/K2P BN Food Safety English.pdf

Elaridi J, Dimassi H, Hassan H. 2019. Aflatoxin M1 and ochratoxin A in baby formulae

marketed in Lebanon: Occurrence and safety evaluation. Food Control. 106.

Elaridi J, Yamani O, Matari A, Dakroub S, Attieh Z. 2019. Determination of Ochratoxin A (OTA), Ochratoxin B (OTB), T-2, and HT-2 Toxins in Wheat Grains, Wheat Flour, and Bread in Lebanon by LC-MS/MS. Toxins (Basel). 11:471.

Elkak A, Abbas M, Oula EA. 2011. A survey on the occurrence of aflatoxin M1 in raw and processed milk samples marketed in Lebanon. Food Control [Internet]. 22(12):1856–1858. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.foodcont.2011.04.026

Elkak A, El ATAT O, Habib J, Abbas M. 2011. Occurrence of aflatoxin M1 in cheese processed and marketed in Lebanon. Food Control. 25.

European Commission. 2006. Regulation (EC) No 1881/2006 of 19 December 2006 setting maximum levels for certain contaminants in foodstuffs. Off J Eur Union. L 364(1881):5.

Food and Agriculture Organization. 2007. On-farm mycotoxin control in food and feed grain. [place unknown].

Gambacorta L, El Darra N, Fakhoury R, Logrieco AF, Solfrizzo M. 2019. Incidence and levels of Alternaria mycotoxins in spices and herbs produced worldwide and commercialized in Lebanon. Food Control [Internet]. 106:106724. https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0956713519303056

Haddad EA, Farajalla N, Camargo M, Lopes RL, Vieira F V. 2014. Climate change in Lebanon: Higher-order regional impacts from agriculture. Region. 1(1):9–24.

HAMAD H, Mehmet A, Ismael H, Göçeri A. 2015. The Effect of Some Sugars on the Growth of Aspergillus niger. Kahramanmaraş Sütçü İmam Üniversitesi Doğa Bilim Derg. 17:7.

Hassan HF, Kassaify Z. 2014. The risks associated with aflatoxins M1 occurrence in Lebanese dairy products. Food Control [Internet]. 37(1):68–72. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.foodcont.2013.08.022

Hassan HF, Kordahi R, Dimassi H, Khoury A, Daou R, Alwan N, Merhi S, Haddad J, Karam L. 2022. Aflatoxin B1 in rice: effects of storage duration, grain type and size, production site and season. J Food Prot [Internet]. https://doi.org/10.4315/JFP-21-434

Hassan HF, Abou Ghaida A, Charara A, Dimassi H, Faour H, Nahouli R, Karam L, Alwan N. 2022b. Exposure to ochratoxin A from rice consumption in Lebanon and United Arab Emirates: a comperative study. Under review in Frontiers in Nutrition

Hojnik N, Cvelbar U, Tavˇ G, Walsh JL, Križaj I. 2017. Mycotoxin Decontamination of Food : Cold " Classic " Decontamination. Toxins (Basel). 11(4).

Hwalla N, Nasreddine L, Farhat Jarrar S. 2013. Lebanon Food-Based Dietary Guidline. [place unknown].

International Agency for Research on Cancer. 2002. International Agency for Research on Cancer Iarc Monographs on the Evaluation of Carcinogenic Risks To Humans. Iarc Monogr Eval Carcinog Risks To Humans [Internet]. 80:27, 338.

http://scholar.google.com/scholar?hl=en&btnG=Search&q=intitle:INTERNATIONAL+AG ENCY+FOR+RESEARCH+ON+CANCER+IARC+MONOGRAPHS+ON+THE+EVALUATION +OF+CARCINOGENIC+RISKS+TO+HUMANS#2%5Cnhttp://scholar.google.com/scholar ?hl=en&btnG=Search&q=intitle:International+Ag

International Agency for Research on Cancer. 2010. Monograph 100F Aflatoxins. 2002:225–248.

Joubrane K, el Khoury A, Lteif R, Rizk T, Awad M, Hilan C, Maroun R. 2011. Occurrence of aflatoxin B1 and ochratoxin A in Lebanese cultivated wheat. Mycotoxin Res. 27:249– 257.

Joubrane K, Mnayer D, el Khoury Andre, El Khoury Anthony, Awad E. 2020. Cooccurrence of Aflatoxin B1 (AFB1) and Ochratoxin A (OTA) in Lebanese stored wheat. J Food Prot.

Karam F. 2002. Climate Change and Variability in Lebanon: Impact on Land Use and Sustainable Agriculture Development.

Karlovsky P, Suman M, Berthiller F, De meester J, Eisenbrand G, Perrin I, Oswald I, Speijers G, Chiodini A, Recker T, Dussort P. 2016. Impact of food processing and detoxification treatments on mycotoxin contamination. Mycotoxin Res. 32.

El Khoury A, Atoui A, Yaghi J. 2011. Analysis of aflatoxin M1 in milk and yogurt and AFM1 reduction by lactic acid bacteria used in Lebanese industry. Food Control. 22(10):1695–1699.

El Khoury A, Rizk T, Lteif R, Azouri H, Delia M-L, Lebrihi A. 2006. Occurrence of ochratoxin A- and aflatoxin B1-producing fungi in Lebanese grapes and ochratoxin a content in musts and finished wines during 2004. J Agric Food Chem. 54(23):8977– 8982.

El Khoury A, Rizk T, Lteif R, Azouri H, Delia M-L, Lebrihi A. 2008. Fungal contamination and Aflatoxin B1 and Ochratoxin A in Lebanese wine-grapes and musts. Food Chem Toxicol an Int J Publ Br Ind Biol Res Assoc. 46(6):2244–2250.

Kokkonen M, Jestoi M, Rizzo A. 2005. The effect of substrate on mycotoxin production of selected Penicillium strains. Int J Food Microbiol. 99(2):207–214.

Lebanese Parliment. 2015. Food Safety Law. :1–22.

Leslie J, Bandyopadhyay R, Visconti A. 2008. Mycotoxins: Detection methods, management, public health and agricultural trade. Wallingford: CAB International.

Lopez-Garcia R, Park DL, Phillips TD. 1999. Integrated mycotoxin management systems [Internet]. http://www.fao.org/3/x2100t/x2100t07.htm

Magan N, Olsen M. 2004. Mycotoxins in food detection and control. Cambridge: CRC.

Mannaa M, Kim KD. 2017. Influence of Temperature and Water Activity on Deleterious Fungi and Mycotoxin Production during Grain Storage. Mycobiology. 45(4):240–254.

Marin S, Ramos AJ, Sanchis V. 2013. Mycotoxins : Occurrence , toxicology , and exposure assessment. Food Chem Toxicol [Internet]. 60:218–237. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.fct.2013.07.047

Milani J. 2013. Ecological conditions affecting mycotoxin production in cereals: A review. Vet Med (Praha). 58:405–411.

Munkvold G. 2014. Crop Management Practices to Minimize the Risk of Mycotoxins Contamination in Temperate‐Zone Maize. In: [place unknown]; p. 59–77.

Ostry V, Malir F, Toman J, Grosse Y. 2017. Mycotoxins as human carcinogens-the IARC Monographs classification. Mycotoxin Res. 33(1):65–73.

Öz�elik S, Öz�elik N. 2004. Interacting effects of time, temperature, pH and simple sugars on biomass and toxic metabolite production by three Alternaria spp. Mycopathologia. 109:171–175.

Pankaj SK, Shi H, Keener KM. 2018. Trends in Food Science & Technology A review of novel physical and chemical decontamination technologies for a fl atoxin in food. Trends Food Sci Technol [Internet]. 71(November 2017):73–83. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tifs.2017.11.007

Pitt JI. 2000. Toxigenic fungi and mycotoxins. Br Med Bull. 56(1):184–192.

Pleadin J, Frece J, Markov K. 2019. Mycotoxins in food and feed. Adv Food Nutr Res. 89:297–345.

Raad F, Nasreddine L, Hilan C, Bartosik M, Parent-Massin D. 2014. Dietary exposure to aflatoxins, ochratoxin A and deoxynivalenol from a total diet study in an adult urban Lebanese population. Food Chem Toxicol [Internet]. 73:35–43. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.fct.2014.07.034

Reverberi M, Ricelli A, Zjalic S, Fabbri AA, Fanelli C. 2010. Natural functions of mycotoxins and control of their biosynthesis in fungi. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol. 87(3):899–911.

Richard J, Payne G, Desjardins A, Maragos C, Norred W, Pestka J. 2003. Mycotoxins: Risks in plant, animal and human systems. CAST Task Force Rep. 139:101–103.

Rose LJ. 2019. Preharvest Management Strategies and Their Impact on Mycotoxigenic Fungi and Associated Mycotoxins [Internet]. In: Okoth S, editor. Rijeka: IntechOpen; p. Ch. 3. https://doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.76808

Sakamoto S, Putalun W, Vimolmangkang S, Phoolcharoen W. 2018. Enzyme ‑ linked immunosorbent assay for the quantitative / qualitative analysis of plant secondary

metabolites. J Nat Med [Internet]. 72(1):32–42. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11418-017- 1144-z

Smith MC, Madec S, Coton E, Hymery N. 2016. Natural Co-occurrence of mycotoxins in foods and feeds and their in vitro combined toxicological effects. Toxins (Basel). 8(4).

Soubra L, Sarkis D, Hilan C, Verger P. 2009. Occurrence of total aflatoxins, ochratoxin A and deoxynivalenol in foodstuffs available on the Lebanese market and their impact on dietary exposure of children and teenagers in Beirut. Food Addit Contam Part A Chem Anal Control Expo Risk Assess. 26:189–200.

Thanushree MP, Sailendri D, K S Y, Moses JA, Chinnaswamy A. 2019. Mycotoxin contamination in food: An exposition on spices. Trends Food Sci Technol. 93.

UN environment program. 2016. Climate change in the Mediterranean. Mediterr Action Plan Barcelona Conv [Internet]. unep.org/unepmap/resources/factshetts/climatechange

Vylkova S. 2017. Environmental pH modulation by pathogenic fungi as a strategy to conquer the host. PLoS Pathog [Internet]. 13(2):e1006149–e1006149. https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/28231317

Zain ME. 2011. Impact of mycotoxins on humans and animals. J Saudi Chem Soc [Internet]. 15(2):129-144. http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1319610310000827